

THIS JUDGING RUBRIC IS PROVIDED TO ASSIST YOU IN YOUR EVALUATION OF THE ARIZONA PSYCHIATRIC SOCIETY ANNUAL MEETING POSTERS.

Likert Scale 0-5 (0 being unacceptable and 5 being outstanding)

<i>Value</i>	<i>Anchor</i>	<i>Explanation</i>
5	Outstanding	Virtually flawless, with negligible weaknesses
4	Excellent	Very strong, but with some minor weaknesses
3	Very Good	Strong, but with some moderate weaknesses
2	Good	Weak, but with some moderate strengths
1	Acceptable	Very weak, with some minor strengths
0	Unacceptable	Inadequate

<b>CASE REPORT POSTERS:</b>	<b>RESEARCH POSTERS:</b>	<b>PATIENT SAFETY/QUALITY IMPROVEMENT POSTERS:</b>
<p><b><u>Originality</u></b>                      Is case Unique?                      Is reason for case clearly stated?                      Does Case improve our understanding of disease?</p> <p><b><u>Case presentation</u></b>                      Is case clearly stated?                      Brevity, Clarity, Pertinence                      Does data support the diagnosis?                      Was case clearly written without grammatical or spelling errors?                      Is case presentation logical?                      Was discussion complete and logical?</p> <p><b><u>Visual Impact</u></b>                      How effective was poster visually?                      Was the content easy to read?                      How valuable to the presentation were the figures, tables and graphs?</p> <p><b><u>Interview</u></b>                      Was case presented clearly?                      Was presenter knowledgeable about the literature and the case?                      Did presenter answer questions appropriately?</p>	<p><b><u>Originality</u></b>                      How relevant is the research?                      Are the reasons for conducting the research apparent?                      Does the research add to our knowledge?</p> <p><b><u>Methodology</u></b>                      Is experimental design appropriate?                      Is the data analysis appropriate?                      Does data support the conclusion?                      Was the poster clearly written without grammatical or spelling errors?</p> <p><b><u>Visual Impact</u></b>                      How effective was poster visually?                      Was the content easy to read?                      How valuable to the presentation were the figures, tables and graphs?</p> <p><b><u>Interview</u></b>                      Does the presenter understand the research?                      Was presenter knowledgeable about the literature?                      Did presenter answer questions appropriately?</p>	<p><b><u>Originality</u></b>                      How important is the identified problem?                      Are the reasons for conducting the research apparent?                      Does the research improve patient care?</p> <p><b><u>Methodology</u></b>                      Is experimental design appropriate?                      Is the data analysis appropriate?                      Does data support the conclusion?                      Was the poster clearly written without grammatical or spelling errors?</p> <p><b><u>Visual Impact</u></b>                      How effective was poster visually?                      Was the content easy to read?                      How valuable to the presentation were the figures, tables and graphs?</p> <p><b><u>Interview</u></b>                      Does the presenter understand the safety/quality issues?                      Was presenter knowledgeable about the literature?                      Did presenter answer questions appropriately?</p>