

THIS JUDGING RUBRIC IS PROVIDED TO ASSIST YOU IN YOUR EVALUATION OF THE 2017 ARIZONA PSYCHIATRIC SOCIETY ANNUAL MEETING POSTERS.

Likert Scale 0-5 (0 being unacceptable and 5 being outstanding)

| <i>Value</i> | <i>Anchor</i> | <i>Explanation</i> |
|--------------|---------------|--|
| 5 | Outstanding | Virtually flawless, with negligible weaknesses |
| 4 | Excellent | Very strong, but with some minor weaknesses |
| 3 | Very Good | Strong, but with some moderate weaknesses |
| 2 | Good | Weak, but with some moderate strengths |
| 1 | Acceptable | Very weak, with some minor strengths |
| 0 | Unacceptable | Inadequate |

| CASE REPORT POSTERS: | RESEARCH POSTERS: | PATIENT SAFETY/QUALITY IMPROVEMENT POSTERS: |
|---|--|--|
| <p><u>Originality</u> Is case Unique? Is reason for case clearly stated? Does Case improve our understanding of disease?</p> <p><u>Case presentation</u> Is case clearly stated? Brevity, Clarity, Pertinence Does data support the diagnosis? Was case clearly written without grammatical or spelling errors? Is case presentation logical? Was discussion complete and logical?</p> <p><u>Visual Impact</u> How effective was poster visually? Was the content easy to read? How valuable to the presentation were the figures, tables and graphs?</p> <p><u>Interview</u> Was case presented clearly? Was presenter knowledgeable about the literature and the case? Did presenter answer questions appropriately?</p> | <p><u>Originality</u> How relevant is the research? Are the reasons for conducting the research apparent? Does the research add to our knowledge?</p> <p><u>Methodology</u> Is experimental design appropriate? Is the data analysis appropriate? Does data support the conclusion? Was the poster clearly written without grammatical or spelling errors?</p> <p><u>Visual Impact</u> How effective was poster visually? Was the content easy to read? How valuable to the presentation were the figures, tables and graphs?</p> <p><u>Interview</u> Does the presenter understand the research? Was presenter knowledgeable about the literature? Did presenter answer questions appropriately?</p> | <p><u>Originality</u> How important is the identified problem? Are the reasons for conducting the research apparent? Does the research improve patient care?</p> <p><u>Methodology</u> Is experimental design appropriate? Is the data analysis appropriate? Does data support the conclusion? Was the poster clearly written without grammatical or spelling errors?</p> <p><u>Visual Impact</u> How effective was poster visually? Was the content easy to read? How valuable to the presentation were the figures, tables and graphs?</p> <p><u>Interview</u> Does the presenter understand the safety/quality issues? Was presenter knowledgeable about the literature? Did presenter answer questions appropriately?</p> |